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Introduction

Like most Americans, people with criminal records need to be employed to support themselves and their families. Moreover, participation in the labor economy is central to most of our identities; our jobs play a major role in defining who we are. In short, employment is a linchpin to the successful rehabilitation of people with records and their full and productive participation in society.

Unfortunately, the very existence of any kind of a criminal record is frequently a significant barrier to being hired for a job, or once hired, keeping the job. Increasing numbers of Americans indisputably are passing through the criminal justice system and thus experiencing this employment barrier. The National Employment Law Project estimates that 65 million American adults, over one out of every four adults, have criminal records. High unemployment rates make finding employment even more difficult for persons with criminal records, often limiting them to low-wage jobs that offer no future.

The options for people with criminal records who are looking for work are limited. They can try to clean up their criminal records through expungements or pardons, although these procedures are limited in Pennsylvania. They can attempt to enforce legal remedies that limit the extent to which criminal records can be

---

1 Some employment or licensing restrictions may also apply to individuals who have “founded” or “indicated” reports of child abuse. Although they are civil in nature, “indicated” reports of child abuse often carry some of the same employment consequences as criminal convictions, without the procedural safeguards afforded to persons charged with crimes. Because child abuse reports can affect employment opportunities, a brief discussion of these reports is warranted; please see Appendix A.
considered when employment decisions are made. They can try to convince employers to seek bonds against the risk of theft that employers fear from employing them. Most likely, they do not know of or cannot utilize any of these options, and their only alternatives are long, dogged and often repetitive job searches, work in the underground economy, or a return to committing crimes.

This report outlines the impact of criminal records on employment opportunities in Pennsylvania. In Part I, we discuss the overall legal framework applicable to the employment of people with criminal records. In Part II, we list occupations in which criminal records must be considered and which legally prohibit employment of some people with criminal records. In Part III, we discuss legal rights and remedies in the employment context, which are derived from state law, race discrimination laws, and local “Ban the Box” ordinances like those in Philadelphia and Pittsburgh.

I. Employer Consideration of Criminal Records Generally

In many occupations, federal or state statutes require a criminal background check on new employment applicants. These laws usually mandate that the report be ordered from the Pennsylvania State Police (the PSP), the Federal Bureau of Investigation (the FBI), or both. These laws typically also list offenses or classes of offenses (such as felonies) that prohibit employment of the person with the criminal record in that field. In other occupations, a similar statutory mandated screening is done in the licensing process, usually by a State licensing board. These statutes tend

See Part III of this paper.
to exist in care-giving and security professions. These laws are discussed in the next section.

For the vast majority of jobs, however, no such laws exist to control an employer’s decision about an applicant with a criminal record. In those “unregulated” jobs, employers have a great deal of discretion whether or not to conduct a background check and hire an individual with a criminal record. However, there are limits to this discretion, created by federal antidiscrimination law and by state law that require employers to assess the suitability of the person despite the criminal record. These statutes are discussed in Part III.

II. Occupations with Legal Prohibitions against Employing People with Certain Criminal Records

While all employers may use job-related criminal records in their hiring decisions, some employers must obtain criminal records and reject candidates with certain convictions. Both state and federal laws proscribe or restrict the hiring or licensing of individuals with particular types of convictions in the following professions. Note that these laws only govern convictions, not arrests that do not lead to convictions. Moreover, juvenile adjudications do not constitute disqualifying offenses.3

The following are summaries of criminal background restrictions on Pennsylvania workers in employment or licensing that are created by federal and state law. People whose employment could be impacted should check into the

3 A juvenile adjudication is not a criminal conviction, and it does not impose any civil disability ordinarily resulting from a conviction. 42 Pa. C.S.A. § 6354(a).
precise list of crimes prohibited by statute and regulation and compare it to their criminal history records as reported by the PSP. Employers are encouraged to learn the exact provisions of the laws applicable to their jobs, so that they do not over-exclude persons whose criminal convictions are not enumerated among the prohibitions.

**Broad Restriction: “Working with Children”**

Restrictions on working with children do not fit neatly into any particular category listed below, and therefore merit a separate explanation. In late 2014, the Pennsylvania legislature amended the Child Protective Services Law (CPSL) to expand the prohibitions on employment of individuals working with children. While previously the CPSL had required background checks and prohibited certain employment of job applicants for schools and child care, it now applies as well to anyone with a “direct contact with children.” “Direct contact” is further defined as anyone having the “care, supervision, guidance or control of children or routine interaction with children.” (Emphasis added) “Routine Interaction” is further defined as “regular and repeated contact that is integral to a person’s employment...responsibilities.” The definition is arguably overbroad and vague and may be read to apply to an extremely broad range of professions. Persons determined to be covered by this law are required to obtain both FBI and PSP records, as well as child abuse records.

4 A PSP record can be ordered online: [https://epatch.state.pa.us/Home.jsp](https://epatch.state.pa.us/Home.jsp).

5 23 Pa. C. S. § 6303

6 23 Pa. C. S. § 6344
The offenses which prohibit employment under the CPSL are as follows:

**May not hire** individuals with **founded** child abuse reports **within last five years** or with convictions for homicide, aggravated assault, kidnapping, rape, various sex crimes, prostitution felonies, concealing death of child, endangering welfare of child, or pornography **ever**, or for drug felonies **within the last five years**.

In 2004, the CPSL's lifetime prohibition on the employment of people with aggravated assault convictions was determined to violate the Pennsylvania Constitution. *Warren County Human Services v. State Civil Service Commission*, 844 A.2d 70 (Pa. Commw.), *appeal denied*, 863 A.2d 1152 (Pa. 2004). The legislature has not yet modified the statute to make it constitutional by putting time limits on the lifetime disqualifications. Consequently, the Pennsylvania Department of Public Welfare has an interim policy (reaffirmed in December of 2015) permitting employers required to comply with the CPSL to hire persons with convictions of the enumerated crimes if the following requirements are met:

- The individual has a minimum five year aggregate work history in care-giving since the conviction.
- The individual's work history in care dependent services may not include any incidents of misconduct. 

**Employment Prohibitions**

The following are professions in which employers are legally prohibited by law from hiring persons with certain offenses:

---

7 See DPW Office of Children, Youth and Families Bulletin No. 3490-08-03 (June 27, 2008),
Aircraft/Airport Employees (applies to those with direct access to airplanes or secure airport areas and to security screeners)

**May not hire** individuals convicted of federal hijacking or other air-related crimes, murder, assault with intent to murder, espionage, treason, sedition, kidnapping, rape, extortion, armed robbery, weapons convictions, distribution (or intent to distribute) a controlled substance, or felonies involving: arson, threats, willful destruction of property, importation or manufacture of controlled substance, burglary, robbery, theft, dishonesty/fraud, possession or distribution of stolen property, aggravated assault, bribery, or possession of controlled substance punishable by a term of imprisonment of more than one year, or conspiracy to commit one of those crimes, **within last 10 years**. 49 U.S.C. § 44936; 49 C.F.R. §§ 1542.209 and 1544.229. Note: Security screeners are exempt from other laws and can be refused a job for any reason. 49 U.S.C. § 44935 note.

Armored Car Crew Member

**May not** hire individuals with any conviction that disqualifies them from firearm license or permit. 15 U.S.C. § 5902.

Bank Employee

**May not hire** individuals convicted of crimes of dishonesty, breach of trust, or money laundering without prior written consent of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation. FDIC has indicated that it considers drug offenses to be crimes of dishonesty.

FDIC may not give consent for a **minimum of 10 years** for crimes involving bribery/corruption in banking, embezzlement/theft, fraud or false statement in banking or bankruptcy transactions, obstructing the examination of a financial institution, or racketeering. 12 U.S.C. § 1829.
**Child Care**

**May not hire** individuals with **founded** child abuse reports **within last five years** or with convictions for homicide, aggravated assault, kidnapping, rape, various sex crimes, prostitution felonies, concealing death of child, endangering welfare of child, or pornography **ever**, or for drug felonies **within the last five years**. 23 Pa. C.S. § 6344(c); 55 Pa. Code § 6000.22 (the Child Protective Services Law, or CPSL).

For more on the CPSL, including the unconstitutionality of its lifetime bans on employment and DPW's interim policy permitting persons with enumerated convictions to be employed, see supra at pages 4-5.

**Child Care Workers in Federal Agencies or Facilities**

**May refuse employment** for a conviction involving a sex crime, offense involving child victim, drug felony, or any other crime that bears on fitness to work with children. 42 U.S.C. § 13041.

**Employee Benefits Employee**

**May not hire** any individual (or assign fiduciary, trustee or officer) with convictions for robbery, burglary, extortion, embezzlement, fraud, theft, bribery, arson, murder, rape, drugs, kidnapping, perjury, assault with intent to kill for **13 years after conviction**. 29 U.S.C. §1111.

**Insurance Company Employee**

**Must get permission of insurance regulator** in order to work in any capacity for an insurance company if convicted of felony involving dishonesty or breach of trust ever. 18 U.S.C. § 1033.

The Pennsylvania Department of Insurance has a 10-page application form for parties seeking permission to work in the insurance field despite a relevant felony conviction.
Nursing Home/Home Health Care/Other Workers in Long-Term Care Facilities

The Older Adult Protective Services Act (OAPSA) previously contained a provision that prohibited hire of individuals who had ever been convicted of homicide, aggravated assault, kidnapping, rape, robbery, burglary, arson, theft (including two misdemeanors), various sex crimes, concealing death of child, endangering welfare of child, pornography, felony drugs. 35 P.S. § 10225.503(a).

In a recent decision Peake v. Commonwealth, the Commonwealth Court reaffirmed and strengthened prior case law finding that OAPSA’s lifetime employment ban against people with certain criminal convictions is unconstitutional. The Court enjoined enforcement of the statute, meaning that there are currently no bans on hiring people with criminal records in OAPSA-covered facilities. The Pennsylvania General Assembly is expected to pass a new criminal records provision of OAPSA shortly.

Police

May not employ if convicted of felony or serious misdemeanor. 53 P.S. § 2164(7); see also pages 4-5 regarding restrictions on working with children.

Port Workers (must have a transportation security card – also known as TWIC - consistent with the following restrictions)

May not employ if convicted of espionage, sedition, treason or federal terrorism crime (or conspiracy to commit any of the above) ever.

May not employ if convicted of a crime involving a “transportation security incident,” improper transportation of a hazardous material, unlawful possession, use, sale or manufacture of an explosive device, murder, making a threat of using an explosive or other lethal device against a government facility or
transportation system, violation of RICO or conspiracy or attempt regarding any of the above ever—but can apply for a waiver from the Transportation Security Administration (TSA).

May not employ if convicted of a weapons offense, drug offense, crime of dishonesty (not including welfare fraud or writing bad checks), extortion, bribery, smuggling, immigration violations, arson, kidnapping or hostage taking, rape or aggravated sexual assault, assault with intent to kill, robbery, fraudulent entry into a seaport, RICO or conspiracy or attempt of the above for seven years before applying for transportation credentials or for five years after release from incarceration, whichever is later—but can apply for a waiver from TSA. 46 U.S.C. § 70105(c)(1); 49 C.F.R. § 1572.103.

Prison Private Security (Federal)

The federal rule is less clear here. Federal law requires that companies that employ private prison security guards to report to the Department of Justice anyone who has a felony conviction, a lesser conviction involving false statement or attempted use of force within the last 10 years, or a felony charge within the last 365 days with no resolution. The regulations do not explicitly state that these people may not be hired, but the existence of the rule implies as much. 28 CFR 105.23.

Private Detective (including employees of organizations with private detective licenses)

Must refuse employment for a conviction of any felony or of the following crimes: weapons offenses, possessing burglar's tools, receipt of stolen property, unlawful entry, aiding escape from prison, pickpocketing, possessing or distributing narcotics, solicitation of sodomy or other lewdness, reckless endangerment, terroristic threats, simple assault. 22 P.S. § 23(a).

School Employees (public and private schools in Philadelphia)
Must refuse employment for a conviction involving homicide, aggravated assault, stalking, kidnapping, unlawful restraint, luring a child into a structure or vehicle, rape, statutory sexual assault, involuntary deviate sexual intercourse, sexual assault, institutional sexual assault, indecent exposure, sexual intercourse with an animal, incest, concealing death of a child, endangering welfare of children, dealing in infant children, felony prostitution, obscene materials, corruption of minors, sexual abuse of children, unlawful contact with a minor, sexual exploitation of children, or a felony drug offense at any time preceding employment application. Must refuse employment for convictions for all other felonies for ten years after expiration of sentence. Must refuse employment for misdemeanors of the first degree for five years after expiration of sentence. Must refuse employment of individuals convicted of more than one misdemeanor (first degree) charge of DUI for three years after expiration of sentence.

Public School Code, 24 P.S. § 1-111(e)(these rules also apply to school bus drivers and student teachers); CPSL, 23 Pa. C.S. § 6344(c). For more on the CPSL, including the unconstitutionality of its lifetime bans on employment and DPW's interim policy permitting persons with enumerated convictions to be employed, see supra at pages 4-5. In Johnson v. Allegheny Intermediate Unit, 59 A.3d 10 (Pa. Commw. 2012)(en banc), the Commonwealth Court found the Public School Code's lifetime ban on homicide violated the state constitution, as applied to a worker with a 30-year-old voluntary manslaughter conviction.

U.S. Government Employee

May not hire individuals convicted of attempting or advocating the overthrow of the U.S. government for five years following conviction. 18 U.S.C. § 2385.
**Occupational Licenses**

Some occupations and professions require a license and are regulated by licensing boards created under state statute. The individual licensing boards are generally given a great deal of discretion to determine the fitness of individuals applying for licenses or certificates and are authorized to refuse or revoke licenses where the applicant has been convicted of any felony or a misdemeanor that relates to the relevant trade, occupation or profession. Many licensing boards are required to consider convictions when making licensing decisions or are even prohibited from licensing individuals with certain convictions.

All licensing boards, however, are governed by a state statute that gives some guidance on the consideration of criminal records. Under 18 Pa. C.S.A. § 9124, licensing boards cannot consider:

- Records of arrest if there is no conviction of a crime based on the arrest
- Convictions which have been annulled or expunged
- Convictions of a summary offense
- Convictions for which the individual has received a pardon from the Governor
- Convictions which do not relate to the applicant’s suitability for the license, certificate, registration or permit

**Licensing boards are permitted to consider records:**

- Where the applicant has been convicted of a felony
• Where the applicant has been convicted of a misdemeanor which relates to
  the trade, occupation or profession for which the license, certificate,
  registration or permit is sought

  Individuals with criminal records who are considering training for specific
  professions should contact the appropriate licensing board to determine whether a
  particular policy or restriction will make a license in that field difficult or impossible
  to obtain. Individuals who are provisionally denied a license because of a criminal
  record can appeal such a denial and seek legal representation to challenge the denial
  at a hearing in Harrisburg.

  The following is a list of licensing boards that may or do consider criminal
  convictions in their licensing decisions.

  **Accountant**

  **May** revoke or suspend license if individual engages in dishonest conduct. 63 P.S. § 9-9.a.

  **Architect**

  **May** refuse or revoke license for conviction of any felony or crime of moral turpitude. 63 P.S. § 34.19. A
  crime of moral turpitude is a crime of dishonesty and includes offenses such as fraud, tax evasion, perjury and
  similar offenses.

  **Auctioneer**

  **May** revoke license for conviction for forgery, embezzlement, extortion, fraud, any crime of moral
turpitude **within five years prior to issuance of license.** 63 P.S. § 734.20.

  **Barber**

  **May** revoke or suspend license if individual engages in dishonest conduct. 63 P.S. § 559.
Bondsman

**May suspend or revoke** license if convicted of any criminal offense. 42 Pa. C.S. A. § 5746(b)(3).

**Casino employee (gaming employee)**

License or permit **will be denied** for felonies and gambling offenses **within 15-years**.

When evaluating an application after 15 years, the Gaming Control Board will consider:

1. the nature and duties of the applicant’s position;
2. the nature and seriousness of the offense;
3. the circumstances under which the offense occurred;
4. the age of the applicant when the offense was committed;
5. whether the offense was an isolated or repeated incident;
6. evidence of rehabilitation.

4 Pa. C.S.A. § 1213; 58 Pa. Code § 435a.1(f) and (g).

**Casino employee (nongaming employee)** (do not handle gaming money – includes bartenders, food service, clerical, parking attendants, and janitorial workers)

Registration **may be denied** for felonies and gambling offenses **within 15-years**.

When evaluating an application for a registration, the Gaming Control Board will consider:

1. the nature and duties of the applicant’s position;
2. the nature and seriousness of the offense;
3. the circumstances under which the offense occurred;
4. the age of the applicant when the offense was committed;
5. whether the offense was an isolated or repeated incident;
6. evidence of rehabilitation.


**Chiropractor**

Applicant for license must submit evidence that he/she has not been convicted of drug felony **in last ten years**. Board **may refuse license** if convicted of any felony, or
misdemeanor in the chiropractic profession. 63 P.S. §§ 625.501, 625.506.

Cosmetologist

An applicant for license as a cosmetologist “shall be at least 16 years of age and of good moral character at the time of making application.” 63 P.S. § 510.

Dental Hygienist

May refuse or revoke license for any felony or crime of moral turpitude. 63 P.S. § 124.1. See also pages 5-6, regarding restrictions on working with children.

Dentist

Must refuse or revoke license if convicted of any drug felony less than 10 years old. May refuse or revoke license if convicted of any other felony or any crime of moral turpitude. 63 P.S. §§ 123.1, 124.1. See also pages 5-6, regarding restrictions on working with children.

Employment Agent (applies to license holder only)

May refuse license to anyone with conviction for any crime other than traffic violation. 43 P.S. §§ 539(8), 541; 34 Pa. Code § 9.13.

Engineer, Land Surveyor, Geologist

License must be revoked (with opportunity to be heard) for any drug felony or crime relating to professional field. 63 P.S. §§ 151(g), 157.1(b).

Funeral Director

May refuse license for any crime of moral turpitude, violation of health law, or relating to profession. 63 P.S. § 479.11.

Horse Racing (applies to anyone employed at horse gambling or race meetings, including vendors and stable workers)
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**Must refuse** license for conviction of race fixing. **May refuse** license for conviction of any crime of moral turpitude, illegal gambling. 58 Pa. Code § 165.35.

**Hunting/Trip Permit Salesperson**

**May** deny license for conviction of any crime. 67 Pa. Code § 65.3.

**Insurance Adjuster**

**May** revoke license for conviction of any felony. 63 P.S. § 1606.

**Medical Technician, Emergency (EMT)**

**Shall** deny certification for conviction of a felony, a crime related to the practice of the EMS provider or a crime involving moral turpitude. 35 Pa. C.S. § 8121(a)(14). Certification can be reinstated five years after the effective date of revocation through an appeal process. 35 Pa. C.S. § 8121(d). See also pages 5-6, regarding restrictions on working with children.

**Midwife**

**May refuse** license for crime of moral turpitude. 63 P.S. § 172. See also pages 5-6, regarding restrictions on working with children.

**Mortgage Broker**

**May** deny license for conviction of any felony or misdemeanor. 63 P.S. § 456.06(d).

**Motor Vehicle Dealer**

**May refuse or revoke** license for any crime of moral turpitude, dishonesty/theft **committed as a dealer within 5 years of application**. 63 P.S. § 818.19.

**Nurse** (Registered Nurse and Licensed Practical Nurse)

**Must refuse** license for any drug felony conviction **in the last ten years. May refuse** license for any other felony or crime of moral turpitude. 63 P.S. §§ 216(c),
Legal Remedies and Limitations:  
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224(a)(5)(RNs); 63 P.S. §§ 655, 666(a)(5)(LPNs). See also pages 5-6, regarding restrictions on working with children.

**Occupational Therapist**

**Must refuse or may revoke** license for any crime found by Board to have a direct bearing on fitness to be an OT. 63 P.S. § 1516. See also pages 5-6, regarding restrictions on working with children.

**Optometrist**

**Must suspend** license for a drug felony. **May revoke** license for any felony or crime of moral turpitude. 63 P.S. § 244.7. See also pages 5-6, regarding restrictions on working with children.

**Osteopath**

**May refuse** license for any felony, drug felony, crime of moral turpitude or any crime related to the practice of osteopathic medicine. 63 P.S. §§ 271.14, 271.15. See also pages 5-6, regarding restrictions on working with children.

**Pawnbroker**

**Must refuse** license for any conviction of engaging in pawnbroking business without license. 63 P.S. § 281-8(a).

**Pharmacist**

**Must refuse** license for conviction of any drug felony in the last 10 years. **May refuse** license for any felony related to the practice of pharmaceuticals, or any crime of moral turpitude. 63 P.S. §§ 390-3, 390-5.

**Physical Therapist/Athletic Trainer**

**Must refuse** license to individuals convicted of any drug felony in the last ten years. 63 P.S. § 1306.

**Physician**
Must refuse license for any drug felony conviction in the last ten years. May refuse license for any other felony or any misdemeanor relating to a health profession. 63 P.S. §§ 422.22, 422.41. See also pages 5-6, regarding restrictions on working with children.

**Physician’s Assistant**

May refuse license for any felony conviction. 63 P.S. § 271.15(b). See also pages 5-6, regarding restrictions on working with children.

**Podiatrist**

May refuse, suspend or revoke license for conviction in connection with the practice of podiatric medicine or involving moral turpitude. 63 P.S. § 42.16. See also pages 5-6, regarding restrictions on working with children.

**Private Detective**

May not issue license if convicted of any felony or of the following crimes: weapons offenses, possessing burglar’s tools, receipt of stolen property, unlawful entry, aiding escape from prison, pick-pocketing, possessing or distributing narcotics, solicitation of sodomy or lewdness, reckless endangerment, terroristic threats, simple assault. 22 P.S. § 16(b).

**Psychologist**

Must refuse license for any drug felony conviction in last ten years. May refuse license for any other felony or misdemeanor in the practice of psychology. 63 P.S. §§ 1206, 1208. See also pages 5-6, regarding restrictions on working with children.

**Radioactive Waste Disposal** (applies to facility operators)

Must deny license for conviction of a first degree misdemeanor or felony involving an environmental crime within the last 10 years. May deny license if applicant or applicant’s partner, officer, associate, or agent has engaged in unlawful conduct. 35 P.S. § 7131.502.
Real Estate Appraiser

May refuse certification for any crime substantially related to qualifications, functions, and duties of persons appraising real estate. 63 P.S. § 457.11.

Real Estate Broker

May refuse license for conviction of any felony or crime of dishonesty. 63 P.S. § 455.604.

Salesperson of Game of Chance

May not issue or renew license for conviction of an felony in the last five years or any gambling (“Bingo Law”) offense in the last ten years. 10 P.S. § 317.

Speech Pathologist/Teacher of the Impaired

May refuse or revoke license for conviction of any felony or first or second degree misdemeanor in the last ten years. 63 P.S. § 1710. See also pages 5-6, regarding restrictions on working with children.

Social Worker

Must refuse license for any drug felony conviction in the last ten years. May refuse license for any other felony or crime of moral turpitude. 63 P.S. §§ 1909, 1911; 49 Pa. Code § 47.12(2). See also pages 5-6, regarding restrictions on working with children.

Tax Assessor

May refuse certification for any crime substantially related to qualifications, functions, and duties of persons developing real property assessment. 63 P.S. § 458.7.

Taxi Driver

May not issue medallion if applicant or officer/director of corporate applicant has any felony conviction in last five years. 66 Pa. C.S. § 2408(c).
Truck Drivers of Hazardous Materials (hazmat endorsements)

May not employ if convicted of espionage, sedition, treason or federal terrorism crime (or conspiracy to commit any of the above) ever.

May not employ if convicted of a crime involving a “transportation security incident,” improper transportation of a hazardous material, unlawful possession, use, sale or manufacture of an explosive device, murder, making a threat of using an explosive or other lethal device against a government facility or transportation system, violation of RICO or conspiracy or attempt regarding any of the above ever—but can apply for a waiver from the Transportation Security Administration (TSA).

May not employ if felony conviction for weapons offense, drug offense, crime of dishonesty (not including welfare fraud or writing bad checks), extortion, bribery, smuggling, immigration violations, arson, kidnapping or hostage taking, rape or aggravated sexual assault, assault with intent to kill, robbery, fraudulent entry into a seaport, RICO or conspiracy or attempt of the above for seven years before applying for transportation credentials or for five years after release from incarceration, whichever is later—but can apply for a waiver from TSA. 49 C.F.R. § 1572.103(a) and (b).

Vehicle Damage Appraiser

May deny license for conviction of any felony. 63 P.S. § 856.

Veterinarian

Must refuse license for any drug felony conviction in last ten years. May revoke or suspend license for any other felony. 63 P.S. §§ 485.9, 485.21; 49 Pa. Code § 31.11(b).
III. Potential Remedies for Denials of Employment Based on Criminal Records

Job applicants and employees in Pennsylvania who are rejected from employment solely because of their criminal records have several potential remedies. They are: (a) a Pennsylvania law limiting consideration of criminal records; (b) race discrimination claims under federal and state antidiscrimination laws; and (c) Philadelphia’s Fair Hiring Ordinance (also known as “Ban the Box”). Several other localities in Pennsylvania including Pittsburgh, Lancaster, Reading, and Allentown have “Ban the Box” ordinances as well.

A. Pennsylvania Law Limiting Consideration of Criminal Records

A Pennsylvania statute provides:

Felony and misdemeanor convictions may be considered by the employer only to the extent to which they relate to the applicant’s suitability for employment in the position for which he has applied.

18 Pa. C.S.A. § 9125(b) (Section 9125). One of the few cases construing this statute has determined that it means that employers may only consider felony and misdemeanor convictions. Cisco v. United Parcel Services, Inc., 476 A.2d 1340 (Pa. Super. 1984). Consequently, under Section 9125, employers may not consider arrest records, juvenile adjudications, and summary offense convictions.

There has been very little guidance under state law on the meaning of “suitability” under Section 9125. However, people with criminal records may be able to argue by analogy to the factors identified in the extensive 2012 policy guidance on consideration of criminal records by the Equal Employment
Opportunity Commission (see next section). For instance, a significant period of time since the crime without reoffending, a minor offense, a lack of relationship between the offense and the job applied for, and a history of successful employment in the job or the profession may all impact on “suitability” under Section 9125.

No administrative agency enforces Section 9125; it must be enforced through filing a lawsuit. A claim may be brought directly under the Criminal History Record Information Act (CHRIA), of which Section 9125 is a part. CHRIA contains a provision providing for civil actions for actual damages, attorney’s fees and costs, and punitive damages between $1,000 and $10,000 for willful violations. A claim under Section 9125 also possibly could be asserted as a “public policy violation” tort under state wrongful discharge law.

A strong advantage to bringing a claim under Section 9125, as opposed to a lawsuit under the race discrimination theory discussed below, is that it clearly applies to all persons with criminal records without consideration of their race, and no proof of racially disparate impact is required. Moreover, no administrative exhaustion before filing suit is required. One disadvantage is that courts have interpreted Section 9125 to apply only to job applicants, and not to current employees who lose their jobs due to old convictions. Legislative efforts are

---

8 18 Pa. C.S.A. §§ 9101 et seq.

9 18 Pa. C.S.A. § 9183(b).

10 See Cisco, 476 A.2d at 1340 (considering a public policy violation tort arising from Section 9125, but rejecting its applicability because plaintiff had been fired based on an arrest arising from his performance of his job).
underway to add language to provide a protection under Section 9125 for current employees.

**B. Race Discrimination Claims under Title VII and Other Anti-discrimination Laws**

For African-Americans and Hispanics, an employment rejection for having a criminal record may implicate a race discrimination claim under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 ("Title VII"). This claim is based on a "disparate impact" theory that recognizes that even unintentional discrimination violates the law where a facially neutral policy disparately harms minority job seekers and is not required by business necessity. In the criminal record context, the claim is that because African-Americans and Hispanics are arrested and convicted in numbers disproportionate to whites, minority job applicants are disproportionately excluded when an employer refuses to hire people with criminal records.

1. **Case law**

Legal support for criminal record disparate impact claims dates to the early 1970s, when the courts and the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC), which is responsible for enforcement of Title VII, started to find Title VII violations where there was either a blanket exclusion of persons with criminal records or a lack of business necessity for such a policy. In 1970, a federal district court found that a policy which automatically disqualified persons who had arrest

---


12 Judge John J. Fullam notably ruled that an employer violated Title VII when it terminated a white woman because of an old criminal conviction. The judge ruled that even though she was not a member of the protected class, she had been adversely impacted by a discriminatory policy and therefore had standing under Title VII. *Field v. Orkin*, No. 00-5913 (E.D. Pa. filed October 30, 2001).
records violated Title VII. In 1975, a federal appeals court rendered the most important decision on convictions until recently, ruling that an across-the-board disqualification based on convictions was invalid. Several more rulings followed which found a Title VII violation for employer use of criminal records.

Claims based on Title VII and criminal history records brought between 1990 and recent years were often rejected. The most notable decision on this issue since the 1970s involved a lawsuit challenging the criminal records policies of Philadelphia’s public transit authority for its paratransit contractors. El v. Southeastern Pennsylvania Transportation Authority, 479 F.3d 232 (3d. Cir. 2007).

Although the Third Circuit ruled against the plaintiff, it did so because of the plaintiff’s failure to submit evidence to rebut the defendant’s expert on recidivism, not because people with criminal records lack entitlement to legal protections in the employment context.

---


In El, the Third Circuit mandated that criminal records policies “accurately distinguish between applicants that pose an unacceptable level of risk and those that do not.” Id. at 245. Describing the application of its test, the court distinguished between applicants who pose “minimal level of risk” and those who do not. Id. at 245 n. 13 & 14. The court indicated that business necessity case law requires “some level of empirical proof that challenged hiring criteria accurately predicted job performance.” Id. at 240. Thus, pursuant to the El decision, for employers to avoid violating Title VII, they must carefully craft their criminal record exclusionary policies, based on empirical evidence as to whether a person with a criminal record presents more than a minimal risk. Notwithstanding EEOC’s 2012 Guidance (discussed below), future courts are still likely to be influenced by El’s analysis of employers’ Title VII obligations.

2. EEOC Policy Guidance (2012)

In April 2012, EEOC issued extensive policy guidance on employer consideration of criminal records.17 This guidance superseded previous policy statements and reaffirmed the EEOC’s central position: a policy or practice of excluding persons from employment on the basis of their criminal records almost always has an disparate impact on African-Americans and Hispanics, and when it has such an disparate impact, the policy violates Title VII unless the employer demonstrates a business necessity for the policy.18


18 The Guidance cites national data and states that the data “supports a finding that criminal record exclusions have a disparate impact based on race and national origin.” For charges filed with EEOC,
Among the most notable conclusions of the guidance are the following:

- **Blanket bans illegal**: Notably, the guidance states that an automatic, across-the-board exclusion from all employment opportunities because of any criminal conduct is illegal because it does not focus on the dangers of particular crimes and the risks in particular positions. Guidance at V.B(7).

- **Rejections for arrests generally illegal**: “An exclusion based on an arrest, in itself, is not job related and consistent with business necessity.” Thus, a policy which excludes people based on arrests which did not result in conviction violates the law. Employers may, however, make employment decisions based on the conduct underlying an arrest if the actual conduct makes the individual unfit for the position in question. Guidance at V.B(2).

- **Evaluating convictions**: EEOC guidance summarizes the law as requiring an employer “to link specific criminal conduct, and its dangers, with the risks inherent in the duties of a particular position.” Guidance at V.B(4). For employers to properly evaluate convictions, they should consider the following factors:

  1) The nature and gravity of the offense/conduct;
  2) The time that has passed since the offense and/or the sentence completion;
  3) The nature of the job held or sought.

Guidance at V.B(6).19

EEOC strongly recommends that if the employer is inclined to reject an applicant after assessing those factors, it conduct an individualized assessment of the person’s circumstances. Guidance at V.B(9). The guidance identifies the following factors that an employer should take into account in the individualized assessment:

- The facts or circumstances surrounding the offense or conduct;
- The number of offenses for which the individual was convicted;
- Older age at the time of conviction, or release from prison;
- Evidence that the individual performed the same type of work, post-conviction, with the same or a different employer, with no known incidents of criminal conduct;

19 These three factors were derived from Green v. Missouri Pac. R.R. Co., 523 F.2d 1290 (8th Cir. 1975), and have long been considered the framework for evaluating Title VII claims based on consideration of criminal records.
• The length and consistency of employment history before and after the offense or conduct;
• Rehabilitation efforts, e.g., education/training;
• Employment or character references and any other information regarding fitness for the particular position;
• Whether the individual is bonded under a federal, state, or local bonding program.

Guidance at V.B(9).

• **Other notable implications:** The firing of current employees who are performing well but have criminal records likely violates Title VII (see Example 8, V.B(9)). So do on-line applications that kick out people with a record (see Example 5, V.B(7)).

• **Preemption of overbroad state law exclusions:** The guidance also notes that state and local laws and regulations are preempted by federal law if they would require or permit any act which would violate Title VII. Thus, “if an employer's exclusionary policy... is not job related and consistent with business necessity, the fact that it was adopted to comply with a state or local law or regulation does not shield the employer from Title VII liability.” Guidance at VII.

3. **Enforcement**

In order to enforce Title VII rights, claims must be filed with a regional office of the EEOC within 300 days of the date of the violation of rights. These charges have been given a high priority by EEOC’s strategic enforcement plan and are particularly well received in the Philadelphia office. But a very long period of investigation by EEOC is to be expected. Getting a “founded” outcome is a positive one, often leveraged in conciliation into a voluntary resolution. If EEOC does not find cause to believe that there was a violation of the law, or if conciliation fails and EEOC decides not to litigate, a right-to-sue letter is issued. Litigation under this theory of liability is not for those with limited resources or resolve, as the plaintiffs must prove both racially disparate impact and business necessity, requiring multiple experts.
Other antidiscrimination agencies in Pennsylvania also recognize that disparate impact claims for rejecting people with criminal records arise under the statutes that they enforce. In January 2010, the Pennsylvania Human Relations Commission ("PHRC") sought public comment on proposed policy guidance on this issue. While the PHRC neither ultimately adopted nor declined to adopt this policy guidance, it has accepted and investigated race discrimination charges for people with criminal records under the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act. Similarly, the Philadelphia Commission on Human Relations accepts such charges as arising under the Philadelphia Fair Practices Ordinance.

C. Philadelphia’s Fair Hiring Ordinance

As of March 14, 2016, the City of Philadelphia’s amended Chapter 9-3500 of the Philadelphia Code, the “Fair Criminal Record Screening Standards Ordinance,” went into effect. This ordinance is also known as the “Ban the Box” law. The ordinance now applies to private employers that employ one or more persons within the City of Philadelphia, as well as the City of Philadelphia itself. The law does not apply to criminal justice agencies or employers hiring domestic service workers to work in their homes.

The ordinance establishes several important rights:

- Employers **cannot** ask about a criminal record on job applications or in job interviews.

- Employers can only run background checks once they have made **offers of employment**, unless the law requires they do it earlier.

---

20 Section 9-3502(9).
Employers cannot take back their offers of employment if individuals have not been convicted or incarcerated in the past 7 years, unless federal or state law require otherwise.\textsuperscript{21}

Employers cannot reject individuals based on arrest(s) that did not lead to conviction(s), regardless of when they occurred.\textsuperscript{22}

For individuals who have been convicted or incarcerated in the past 7 years, employers in Philadelphia County:

- \textbf{Can only} take back the job offer if the conviction(s) are so related to the job that they believe hiring the individual would be an unacceptable risk.\textsuperscript{23}

- \textbf{Can only} take back the job offer after conducting an individualized assessment which considers the factors recommended in the EEOC Guidance (see above).\textsuperscript{24}

- \textbf{Must} notify the individual in writing, and give a copy of the criminal record if they reject the person because of a record. A person then has 10 days to explain the conviction(s), or to prove that the record is inaccurate.\textsuperscript{25}

Employers that violate the ordinance are subject to a fine and they may owe monetary damages to applicants who were unfairly denied jobs. Complaints can be filed with the Philadelphia Commission on Human Relations, which is responsible for enforcement of the ordinance, within 300 days of the violation.\textsuperscript{26} If the Commission does not successfully resolve the complaint, the individual has the right to sue the employer in court.

\textsuperscript{21} Section 9-3504.

\textsuperscript{22} Section 9-3503.

\textsuperscript{23} Section 9-3504.

\textsuperscript{24} Section 9-3504(2).

\textsuperscript{25} Section 9-3504(a).

\textsuperscript{26} Section 9-3506.
D. Pittsburgh “Ban the Box” Ordinances

On December 17, 2012, the Pittsburgh City Council passed two ban the box ordinances; one that applies to city employment and one that applies to city contractors.

Ordinance 2012-0013 provided that except for certain safety-sensitive positions, the City will not inquire about criminal background until it has determined that the applicant is otherwise qualified for the position. At that point, the City will make a condition job offer, pending a background check. If the City believes it has ground to rescind the offer after the background check, the applicant must be given an opportunity to provide “clarifying information.” Title One, Article XI, Chapter 181, Sect. 181.12.

Ordinance 2012-0015 provided that municipal contracts shall have a provision requiring the contractor to comply with Section 181.12(b) (see above), subject to exceptions recommended by the City Solicitor and approved in writing by the Mayor. Title One, Article VII, Chapter 161, Sect. 161.16.

In addition, Allentown, Lancaster, and Reading now have their own “Ban-the-Box” ordinances. For more information on local “Ban-the-Box” ordinances, see: http://www.nelp.org/content/uploads/Ban-the-Box-Fair-Chance-State-and-Local-Guide.pdf.
APPENDIX A--CHILD ABUSE REPORTS

Some employment or licensing restrictions may also apply to individuals who have “founded” or “indicated” reports of child abuse or neglect. Although they are civil in nature, “indicated” reports of child abuse often carry some of the same employment consequences as criminal convictions, without the procedural safeguards afforded to persons charged with crimes. Because child abuse reports can affect employment opportunities, a brief discussion of these reports is warranted.

Under the Child Protective Services Law (CPSL), 23 Pa.C.S. §6301 et. seq., local child protective service agencies throughout Pennsylvania are required to investigate reports of suspected child abuse or neglect. These reports of suspected abuse originate from a variety of sources: neighbors, teachers, doctors, hospital social workers, family members, even the children themselves. Investigative social workers are supposed to interview witnesses and review any available medical documentation in making a determination as to whether or not the report is substantiated.

If not substantiated, the social workers mark the reports as “unfounded” and the reports are eventually expunged. “Founded” reports are those in which a court has made an adjudication of child abuse. Court adjudications theoretically can be appealed to a higher court. The CPSL prohibits the employment of individuals who have “founded” child abuse reports within the five years preceding applications from jobs in child care and schools. In policy and practice, the Department of
Human Services cites or refuses to license facilities that employ people with “indicated” reports, even though they are not barred by statute from employment.

Reports are “indicated” when the investigating child protective services agency determines that there is “substantial evidence” of abuse or neglect. In our experience, many “indicated” reports of child abuse involve incidents that do not rise to the level of child abuse under the law—such as purely accidental injuries, or the lawful infliction of corporal punishment that does not result in substantial pain. These reports are not subject to any judicial oversight or due process protection unless appealed in a timely manner.

Under Pennsylvania statute, reports made after July 1, 1995, had to be appealed within 45 days of notification of the indicated status of the report. However, because the notice that used to be sent to individuals placed on the Child Abuse and Neglect Registry was deemed by the Commonwealth Court of Pennsylvania to be defective, individuals with old child abuse reports may still be able to appeal their indicated reports even beyond the 45-day deadline. If the date of the report is prior to September 12, 2008, the deadline will be waived and individuals can still request to have their report expunged.

Under new amendments, indicated reports dated on or after December 31, 2014, have an appeal deadline of 90 days. Furthermore, many individuals who were placed on the Child Abuse Registry as juveniles are now eligible for automatic expungement of their child abuse records if they meet certain criteria after they

---

27 Indicated reports made before July 1, 1995, are appealable at any time.
reach 21 years if age or 5 years after the report is placed on the registry, whichever is later.

Many individuals do not receive the notification, do not understand it, or do not recognize its significance when they do receive it. Expungement requests made after the relevant deadline are automatically denied, and it is extremely difficult to get the deadline lifted without very good cause for missing the appeal deadline. Failing to receive notification without extenuating circumstances is not generally accepted as good cause. Consequently, a permanent barrier to certain types of employment is thus created.

Even though no statute prohibits the employment of persons with “indicated” reports of child abuse in any field that we are aware of, these reports regularly preclude people’s employment or impede their ability to get a license in many professions, such as those related to children or medical professions. As discussed on page 7 of this report, recent legislation has expanded employment restrictions contained in the CPSL for individuals seeking to work with children. We recommend that individuals ascertain that they are not the subject of any indicated or founded child abuse reports before attempting to get a license or enrolling in costly and time-consuming vocational training or education. They can do so by calling or writing the child abuse registry as follows:

Director
Childline & Abuse Registry
Department of Public Welfare
Office of Children, Youth and Families
P.O. Box 8170
Harrisburg, PA 17105-8170
(717) 783-6211
We further recommend that they contact the relevant licensing boards to find out whether a child abuse report might affect their ability to get a license. In the event that a report exists that may affect employment or licensing, a local legal services organization or a private attorney may be able to assist individuals in getting their records cleared.